Exhibit 4



Name: Noreen Sheav Village of Pomona (1)

Complaint Des Complaint Sages 18 des districtes	anactioning brings and an area	
	Aug arrangement of the second	The state of the s
10190879 17-E-C-E 11/3/2017	M7Like Dieine	D: 17 Cit-
10190879 17-E-C-E 11/3/2017	White Plains	Daniel Jean-Gilles
그 그 그 그는 그		

LawManager*

NYS DHR EVENT HISTORY WITH COMMENTS

Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)	
With MOU Agency	
Complaint Filed	
Stoppes	
Fri 11/3/2017	
Completed	
Date Complete: 11/9/2017	
Company	
Regional	
Serve Complaint on Respondent	
The Control of the Co	
Da. Fri 11/3/2017	
Completed	
Date Completed 11/9/2017	
4 e Conditions	
EEOC Contracts	
Review Intake (ECU)	
TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	
Fri 11/3/2017	
Sugar - Completed	
Date of Michael 11/9/2017	
* * * * * * * * Contrients	
Regional	
Response Due Date	
5. 200 Mar. 2010 (NOV)	
2008 Fri 11/24/2017	
Completed	
2 Date College 11/27/2017	
Commence	
Won MOU Agency	
Response Received from Respondent	
Date: Mon 11/27/2017	
Completed	
Date 16 11/27/2017	
A COTINICALS	
MOU Agency	And the second s
Correspondence Sent	
E-mail and mail	
Thu 11/30/2017	
Completed	
Dare Con 1988 5 11/30/2017	
Second request letter for a narrative response	sent
to Respondent.	



Name: No reen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Eyents (32)		
	MOU Agency	
	Response Received from Respondent	
Superal Superal	is to a second s	
	Mon 12/11/2017	
	Kings Completed	
e de Comp	1/9/2018	
2Cojina	Respondent's attorney submits a verified	
	supplemental answer to the complaint.	
	MOU Agency	
	Rebuttal Requested	
	The state of the s	
	Tue 12/12/2017	
TO SECURE A SECURE ASSESSMENT AND A SECURE ASSESSMENT A	1/9/2018	
e agus de proposition de la company		
	Regional	
ng a diperson a arma dipension for indicate a consequence and	Rebuttal Due Date	
Secretary of the second		
and the second s	Wed 12/27/2017	
	Completed	
s scalate Gorgi	A SALACIAN COLOR AND	
was the same	igas:	
	MOU Agency	
	Rebuttal Received	
100		
	Data Wed 12/27/2017	
	Completed	
and the state of t	1/9/2018	
	Regional	
	Rebuttal Received	
	Wed 12/27/2017	
MARKA MARKATA		
	MOU Agency	
	Additional Information Requested	
	Respondent	
	Tue 1/30/2018	
1000000000		
resentation and the hard		
44.4437.5	Additional documents and information requested	
	from Respondent (D&I).	•
	Wilness interview request/notice was also included in this request.	



Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)		
	MOU Agency	and the state of t
27 - 2 September 1950 (1954) Karthar 1952 (1954) Karthard 1954 (1954) Karthard 1954 (1954)	Response Received from Respondent	
3.00 Sales		
	Tue 2/13/2018	
ALU CARRIERE LINES	Completed	
. Expant Complete	ANTONIA CITATION CONTINUES OF THE CONTIN	
	Respondent submits partial response to the D&! request	
	MOU Agency	
Participant of the second of t	Response Received from Respondent	
22.8846.00		
ere v servijane	Wed 2/14/2018	
a galasta saas Saats	Completed	
Stabule Collegiors	5/14/2018	
	Respondent submits 2nd partial response to the D&! request, with exhibits.	
	Regional	
	Additional Information Due Date	
es de la companya de		
	Wed 2/14/2018	
	Completed	
SESSED DATO Completes		
A CONTRACTOR		

LawManager®

NYSDHR EVENT HISTORY WITH COMMENTS

Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)

MOU Agency

Witness Interview

in Person

Completed

Someones Case Number : 10190879 HUD Number : 16GB800346

CaseName

: Noreen Shea v.

Village of Pomona

Witness Name

: Brett L. Yagel

Witness Address

: Pomona

Village Hall

Witness Telephone

Date of Birth (If age is alleged)

Date of Interview : 2/23/18
Method of Interview : In person

Place of Interview (If In Person): Commission on

Human Rights

Interview summary :

Mr. Yagel was interviewed. He was accompanied by Doris F. Ulman, Attorney for the Village of Pomona.

Mr. Yage stated that he was elected Mayor for Pomona in March 2011 and his only other elected position was that of Trustee for Pomona in 2007.

Mr. Yagel said, "Yes" when asked if he had any knowledge of the complaint that the Complainant filed against him. The Investigator informed the Mr. Yagel that the Complainant filed a discriminatory claim.

Mr. Yagel reported that he appointed the Complainant in February 2016 without the approval of the Board because of the vacancy that had become available after the retirement of the prior Deputy Clerk. He said that the Mr. Yagel was reappointed in April 2016, with the Board's approval, for the position.

Mr. Yagel stated that "From the get go" there were concerns about the Mr. Yagel's demeanor with the public, ber inappropriate remarks, and she has "no filters." He said that he attempted to correct her behavior. However, after an incident in March 2017, he realized it would not work. He explained that the Complainant responded to an article in the Rockland County Times by saying, "If they said I said that, I'll put his freaking head through the walt." She then shared information about a prior incident in which she had put someone's head through glass. He said he told her

Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)

that it is understood that the article was not referring to her because the incident occurred before she started in her position.

Mr. Yagel stated that there were concerns about the Complainant's performance. He said that she has on her resume that she dealt with securities, but she struggled to with the prices for the fees and understanding basic figures. As an example, herelayed that he was at Village Hall and he observed the Complainant giving the incorrect amount for the fee for an application. He asked her if she was sure that was the correct fee and she replied, "Yes." He said he told her that the amount she gave was incorrect and he showed her the correct fee.

Mr. Yagel added that the Complainant's communication letters were not professional and she went contrary to instructions. For example, she sent out a death notice, but the letter did not have the name of the identifying agency, name of the person who had passed away and the correct death date. Therefore, it was unknown whether the information was ever received.

Mr. Yagel also stated that the Complainant did not get permission from him or the board prior to making decisions. For instance, the Complainant met with an intern from the Solid Waste Commission and authorized an application for the roll out of a program. However, when he became aware of it, he checked and the information, such as the collection date, was incorrect. The Mr. Yagel said the incident occurred around July 2016 and screen shots with information about the application were previously submitted to the Investigator. Mr. Yagel further noted that the Complainant did not take criticism well.

He described the Complainant as being insubordinate. He provided as an example of her insubordination an incident in which the Complainant was on the phone disclosing information that was not was inappropriate. He said he asked her to place the call on hold several times, but she did not comply with his request. He relayed that the incident was witnessed by the Village Clerk, Frances Artha.

Mr. Yagel said that the Complainant would inappropriately give out information about a case before trial. For instance, he cited a person (Naomi) for the placement of 8th framed placards that were incorrectly placed in the road, when Naomi came in about the citation, the Complainant divulged to her that she was not the only one who wascited. The Complainant told Naomi that Fuerst and Fuerst was also cited. He added that Naomi also claimed discrimination, but she later plead guilty to the citation.

Mr. Yagel stated that the Complainant does not like to follow the law. He cited an email in which the Complainant chastised the building inspector

Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1 Events (32)

for not being helpful as an example. Mr. Yagel said he met with the complainant to discuss the matter and she said, "We need to work together, not screw people." Mr. Yagel said, "The poorest choice I ever made was hiring Noreen Shea."

When asked how the Complainant came to work for the village, the Mr. Yagel replied, "I met her at a friend's function and Trustee Nicholas Wilson knows her sister and her brother-in-law.

Mr. Yagel answered, "Around 1½ month after she started; around the end of 2016 when asked how long after hiring the Complainant did the event take place. Mr. Tagel was asked how much time hadpassed before issues with the Complainant were observed and he said, "From the get go. She wanted to figure out other's job, e.g., the clerk." Mr. Yagel said he told Franto do the property registration form because the Complainant could not do it properly.

Mr. Yagel stated that around the March 2017 elections, the Complainant threatened to put Trustee Bank's head through the wall. Mr. Yagel said he informed the Complainant that her statement was a threat. Mr. Yagel also relayed that in April 2017, he haddone some appointments and he later noticed that no none had signed the oath of office and so, he asked Fran to check to make sure everyone signs.

Mr. Yagel said he decided not to reappoint the Complainant because of her incompetence, insubordination, threats against an elected official, her inability to getalong with staff, her lack of filter, and her giving out inaccurate information. He added that he did not have to give the Complainant a reason for his decision not to reappoint her. He said the Complainant made disparaging remarks about Fran, such as calling her "Debbie Downer" and "Hanna Rain Cloud."

Mr. Yagel stated he is not at the village hall daily because he is a part-time mayor. However, the concerns about the Complainant were brought to his attention by the trustees, building inspector, village clerk and residents.

Mr. Yagel said that in June 2017, in response to the text he received from the building inspector about a conflict with the Complainant, he asked Deputy MayorLeon Harris what he saw and he instructed him to find out what is going on.

Mr. Yagel said the Complainant was the second person whom he decided not to appoint for a position. He said that Peter Obey, who was on the ZBA (Zoning Board) was being considered, but he decided against it after observing a discrepancy with a bid and the reported amount.

Mr. Yagel said interviews for the Deputy Clerk position were not done at the village hall. Mr.

Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)

Yagel was asked if interviews were conducted prior to no infeation to the Complainant that her service was no longer needed and he replied, "Yes. Well before."

Mr. Yagel was asked if he told the Complainant to stop being cooperative with certain Jewish residents and he answered, "Absolutely not; however, I observed her give information without due diligence, and without reviewing the request, i.e., FIOL request."

Mr. Yagel was asked if he advised the Complainant to buy and place pork rinds on the counter. He replied, "No. I do not recall saying that to ber."

Mr. Yagel was asked if he told the Complainant to stop saying howcute people's children are and to stop interacting with those types. He responded, "No, but I recall the incident in which she was interacting with someone from Tal Properties in Poinona and I said to her, 'Don't you know we are in litigation?' I told her not to elaborate on anything."

Mr. Yagel was asked if he had sent a text to the building inspector in which he called the Complainant a "Jew lover?" He answered, "Absolutely not."

When asked if he would like to add any additional information, Mr. Yagel said that being a public official, you do not have free speech and things are said in the media/blog; I just ignore and move on. He also said that the 2007 Wetland Law was the only one on which he voted and at the time, 3/5th of the boardwas Jewish.

Mr. Yagel was asked his religion. He asked is that important. He was told that based on the nature of the complaint, it was. He responded, "Lutheran Christian." He added that the Complainant went to Sacred Heart and his children graduated from Sacred Heart.

Mr. Yagel was informed that the plan is to wrap up the case by early March.



Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

MOU Agency Witness Interview In Person

Tue 2/27/2018

Completed 5/15/2018

> Case Number : 10190879 HUD Number

Case Name

: Noreen Shea v.

Village of Pomona

Witness Name

Frances Arsa Artha

Witness Address

: Pomona

Village Hall Witness Telephone

Date of Birth (If age is alleged) :

Date of Interview : 2/27/18 Method of Interview: In person

Place of Interview (If In Person): Commission on

Human Rights

Interview summary:

Witness Frances Arsa Artha was interviewed. She was accompanied by Doris F. Ulman, Attorney for the Village of Pomona. She is currently serving as the Village Clerk/Treasurer. She stated that she reports to the Mayor and she started working for the village on 12/1/14. She has not held any other public position. She previously worked for the private sector as a Tax Accountant.

She was asked to describe the duties of the Deputy Village Clerk. She replied that the Deputy Village Clerk is responsible for the packages and serves as a back-up for the Village Clerk. Witness said, "Yes" when asked if she had seen the complaint the Complainant filed against the Village. She was asked for her response to the complaint. She stated, "I was shocked when I saw it. It is inaccurate. It was off base and untrue." She further relayed that the Complainant did not understand the job, the requirements and she had behavioral issues. She said the prior Deputy Village Clerk trained the Complainant for a week. Witness relayed that a week was not enough time, but the former Deputy Village Clerk spent a week with Complainant. Witness surmised that job is a repetitive and routine process.

Witness was asked to provide her assessment of the Complainant's performance. She stated, "Three months after she started working she was difficult to deal with, she did not take criticism well, and she was overall difficult to work with." She described the Complainant as being oppositional to any kind of guidance or instructions she tried to give her. Instead,

Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1

NYS DHR EVENT HISTORY WITH COMMENTS

Frente (32)

Complainant would say she (Artha) was being picky or it did not matter. She added, "It was not just me; she had the same difficulties dealing with others inside and outside the Village." She provided an example of the Complainant's telephone conversation with an Orange & Rockland staff, Trish Austin, about a light post for which the light was not working, and after which, Complainant described the Orange and Rockdand staff as useless. Witness said she was surprised by the Complainant's comments because she (Artha) had worked with the Orange and Rockland staff several times without incident. Furthermore, witness stated that the Orange and Rockland staff called backwith an update, but asked for a message to be given to Complainant because she did not wish to talk to the Complainant.

Witness said the Complainant did not previously mention the claims in her complaint. She said the Complainant's employment was terminated because of her office demeanor, 2

her outburst of anger, she is belligerent, condescending, and she did not assume responsibility for her interaction with others. Witness was asked to clarify to whom "we" in her affidavit referred. She replied, "Myself, Building Inspector and Mayor observed certain behaviors." Also, witness reported that residents commented about the Complainant's conversations in the supermarket. Witness was asked when the outside conversations took place and she said, "A period of time. The Mayormade informal comments that village information should be kept confidential." Witness was asked if she has anything in writing about the Complainant's performance; she replied, "Specifically. No. Idon't know if I have anything in here," referring to a letter she had. She explained that the letter is in response to one that was retrieved after from the Complainant's computer in January 2018, in preparation for the case. She said the letter was about her and so, she wrote a response to the letter in case she needed it. Witness said she had not shared her letter with anyone. The investigator asked for a copy of the witness' letter and she provided. it Witness said that people were being interviewed for positions and when one person came in, the Complainant asked, "That's the person who is going to replace me? I said, I don't know; also hiring for codes." Witness disclosed that on 2/3/17, the date of the the call on hold, but she did not do it. Witness said, "It was quite a dramatic level of

Softh anniversary celebration, Complainant was on a call with a contractor, the Mayor told her to put the call on hold, but she did not do it. Witness said, "It was quite a dramatic level of insubordination." Witness said the celebration was being held downstairs at the village hall, but after the telephone incident, Complainant refused to join the celebration and she said, "I would be caught dead before I go down there." Witness noted that people can have disagreements, but there is a way to handle it.

Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Even**ts** (32)

told her she should not do it. She further reported that a building contractor, whom she did not name, visited when Complainant was not in the office. He asked for her, but was told she was not in. He then said, "She is a big problem; she gives out a lot of information.' Witness stated Complainant said she smashed more than one face in a windshield and Complainant talked about a mall incident in which Complainant was with her mom when someone bumped into her mom; consequently, pushed the person's head in a glass case and the complainant said she had blood all on her. Wimess said she initially gave Complainant a lot of direction, but Complainant seems to have problem remembering things. For example, Complainant had to send certified mail for the building department, but she did not know how to do it. Witness said she showed Complainant how to do it, but she did not do the certified letters correctly. Witness said she became aware that the letters were not done correctly when Complainant told her shie had not received any of the return receipts. Witness said Complainant asked her a second time to show her how to do it and she showed her. 3

lot of information to real estate agents and she

Witness also presented an example as Complainant's completion of the tax projects that occurred the first three months of Complainant's employment. Witness said she showed Complainant how to do the tax projects, she asked Complainant to count cabinets to get locks for them and within 5 minutes, Complainant was on the phone telling the guys that the bars did not fit. Witness explained that the bars did not have to fit the entire length of the cabinets. She, therefore, told Complainant she only needed to count the cabinets. In response, Complainant, threw up her hands, threw paper at her and told he that maybe she needs to do it herself; she can do it better. Witness said Complainant used derogatory terms, such as, cold hearted Hannah, Debbie Downer, about her. Investigator asked her how she knew the terms were used, and she said, "An email to the building inspector, who showed it to me." Investigator asked witness for a copy of the email; she said she did not have it, but maybe the building inspector has it. Witness reported that when she met with her, Complainant would say to her, "You Ms. Perfect or you are so picky." Witness said, "I withdrew myself. It was easier to do things myself than deal with her." Investigator asked witness if the building inspector showed her anything else; she replied, "No." Witness was also asked if the building inspector showed her any texts; she said, "No." Witness said she gave the Complainant

Witness said she gave the Complainant instruction, but she cannot seem to follow it. She relayed that in preparation for vacation, she gave Complainant information on the tax system used toenter tax payment. However, she left the office early for a dental appointment, Complainant called her for assistance with someone's tax

Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)

payment and she had to walk her through it. She also reported that Complainant informed her she was worried the person was going to pay by credit card because she never bothered setting it up. Witness said Complainant also never did the notary and she did not attend board meetings until about a year later.

For another example, Witness relayed an occasion in which someone called Complainant about a death certificate and Complainant told him to come in However, the information was incorrect because the accident which led to the death occurred in front of the postoffice and so, the death certificate would not have been issued by village hall. Witness also referred to two deaths which occurred while she was on vacation and Complainant sent the death notification letters without any address on the letter. She also said that the people died in July, but the letter was dated June. She reported that Complainant told the funeral director that no one told her Witness was asked who terminated Complainant's employment; she answered, "The Mayor." She was asked if she had any discussions with the Mayor about Complainant's termination and she said, "Not really. I told him about instances. I made him aware of her performance issues." She gave an example of a letter to residents about property registration Complainant completed which Witness had to redo because it had spelling errors. Witness was asked the reason the Mayor tenninated Complainant's employment. She replied, "Not conducting herself in a professional manner. He did not discuss it with me: I was not aware." Witness was asked when she became aware and she said, "The 4

day he did it - 6/23/17. Witness was asked if she knew a replacement was hired and she said, "No. The Mayor told me after she left someone will be starting on Monday."

Witness was asked if the Mayor called Complainant a "Jew lover?" She answered, "No." Witness was asked if she observed Complainant treat anyone requesting services differently. She answered, "She would react to people differently. If she did not like someone or if the person is argumentative, she was less cooperative. She liked to talk a lot with people during certain calls." Witness also said that Complainant would initiate conversations. For example, if a person has a certain car, she would initiate a conversation about the car and she would have inappropriate conversations with people who came in village hall. Witness did not provide any examples of the inappropriate conversations. Witness was asked if Complainant was told to stop being friendly with Jewish residents and she replied, "No. She was told to follow protocol. "Witness said Complainant would OK residents to do something without the building inspector's approval, and so, Complainant was told to follow building code. Witness was asked if the Mayor told Complainant how to handle "those people." She responded, "She was told to be professional and don't act

LawManager*

NYS DHR EVENT HISTORY WITH COMMENTS

Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)

unilaterally on a decision that she does not have permission to actupon. She had disregard for any kind of rules or codes." She added that Complainant told someone that a permit was not needed to move a water heater. When Complainant was told that a permit was needed, she indicated that she had moved 100s of them and she did not have a permit. Witness disclosed that Complainant did not like the job and she thought it was beneath her. She said Complainant told someone who came in that she used to have her own jet and she rubbed shoulders with Bernie Murdoch and now look where she is; she is stuck in this job. Witness was asked if the Mayor told Complainant to buy pork rinds. She answered, "No; never heard anything like that." Witness was asked whether it is common knowledge of an Us vs. Them environment in the office. She said, "No. It is a very diverse community; people from different backgrounds." Witness was asked if she discussed with anyone about the interview with the investigator. She replied, "No. Just the attorney. I met with the attorney yesterday." She was asked if anyone else was present during the meeting with the attorney; she said, "No." When asked if she would like to add any additional information, Witness said the Village, Mayor, Board of Trustees are working to maintain the village and the quality of life. She added that the for 50th anniversary celebration, a lot of effort

was made to include everyone, which is why she the Complainant's allegations are shocking because they are not true. Witness disclosed that the Complainant never brought up anything like that to anyone and the Complainant called

everyone, excepther "Hun."

Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)

Wilness Interview a a cook in A. sa. In Person Date Completed 5/15/2018

MOU Agency Tue 2/27/2018 Completed

> Case Number : 10190879 HUD Number : 16GB800346

Case Name

: Noreen Shea v.

Village of Pomona

Witness Name

: Leon Harris

Witness Address

: Pomona

Village Hall

Witness Telephone

Date of Birth (If age is alleged)

Date of Interview : 2/28/18 Method of Interview :In person

Place of Interview (If In Person): Commission on

Human Rights

Interview summary:

Witness Deputy Mayor Leon Harris was interviewed. He was accompanied by Doris F. Ulman, Attorney for the Village of Pomona.

Mr. Harris stated that he was appointed as Deputy Mayor in April 2014 and he was reappointed in the 2015 elections. His other elected position was in 2011 as Trustee.

Mr. Harris said he has not seen the complaint, but he has knowledge of it.

Mr. Harris reported that he is familiar with the Complainant who worked as Deputy Clerk. He relayed that he told the Complainant that she has one of the easier jobs because she can contact someone when a difficult decision must be made. He added that he told her she could always call or text the Mayor to ask him what to do. Mr. Harris said he told the Complainant she was taking on too much responsibility. He reported that the Complainant did not like what he said. She was upset that things were not going well and she said that everything she does is wrong.

Mr. Harris disclosed that he had witnessed a telephone conversation between the Complainant and a builder in which the builder called in the afternoon to request an inspection the following morning. Mr. Harris said he informed the Complainant to tell the builder that 24-hour notice is needed to schedule the inspection. Mr. Harris stated that the Complainant did not want to tell the building, and so, he did it. Mr. Harris

Name: Norcen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)

reported that he advised the Complainant to tell people she would get back to them if she did not have an answer for them.

Mr. Harris was asked if he had knowledge that the Complainant's services were terminated. He replied that he knew the Mayor had not renewed her term be cause it had the term been renewed, it would have been brought to the board. Mr. Harris was asked if the Deputy Clerk position had to be brought to the board and he said, "Yes." Mr. Harris was asked what was his response when he found out that the Complainant's term would not be renewed. He responded that the Mayor told him it was not working out. The Mayor then put in the name of the current Deputy Clerk for nomination by the board.

Mr. Harris answered, "No" when asked if he had a discussion with the building inspector about the Complainant. Mr. Harris was asked whether there was a complaint from the building inspector about the Complainant which he was asked to intervene; he replied "No." (Footnote: During his interview on 2/23/18, the Respondent said the building inspector complained about the Complainant and he (Respondent) asked Deputy Mayor Harris to look into the matter).

Mr. Harris said he is unaware of the Respondent calling the Complainant a "Jew Lover." He also said that he is unaware of the Respondent having made any statements about the treatment of "those people" in reference the Orthodox Jewish community. Mr. Harris said, "No" when asked whether there were delays in authorization for requests from the Orthodox Jewish community. He furthernoted, "I have seen people say I want this right away and people saying you have to wait." He expounded that it is a three-member office and lately (a couple of months), there have been a lot of FOIL requests for records for two/three years, which takes a lot to time to collect.

Mr. Harris answered "No" to the question whether there was a directive from the Mayor to put porkrinds on the counter. He also responded, "No" when asked if there was any direction from the Mayor not to provide effective service to the Orthodox Jewish community.

Mr. Harris also said he has not heard about a "Us vs. Them" environment. He said the comment may be from the Orthodox saying that things are difficult. Mr. Harris added that the Mayor is telling everyone to follow the process. Mr. Harris was asked whether the subject of following the process came up in discussions; he replied, "No. The Mayor told me he has told everyone to follow the process."

Mr. Harris was asked if his assessment was that



Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)

the Complainant was too cooperative with the Jewish Community. He replied, "No. At times, she was not fully aware of the laws and what needed to be done."

Mr. Harris was asked his religion and he disclosed that he is Catholic.

LawManager

NYS DHR EVENT HISTORY WITH COMMENTS

Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1

Events (32)

byte MOU Agency

Witness Interview

In Person

Wed 2/28/2018

Completed

5/15/2018

Case Number : 10190879 HUDNumber : 16GB800346

Case Name

: Noreen Shea v.

Village of Pomona

Witness Name

: Ian Banks

Witness Address

: Pomona

Village Hall Witness Telephone

Date of Birth (If age is alleged) :

Date of Interview: 2/28/18 Method of Interview: In person

Place of Interview (If In Person): Commission on Human Rights

Interview summary :

Witness Trustee Ian Banks was interviewed. He was accompanied by Jan. Ulman, Attorney for the Village of Pomona.

Mr. Banks stated that he has served continuously since he was elected as Trustee in 1996, his first and only elected position.

Mr. Banks said he is familiar with the complaint and he has read it.

Mr. Banks was asked to relay what he knows about the Complainant's employment. He replied that the Complainant did a good job for the village. She was professional and feedbacks from the village residents were positive. He said she was eager to be productive and all tasks she had to do, she always did a good job. He added that he never received any negative feedback about her job duties from the residents.

Mr. Banks was asked if he gets any negative feedback about the Complainant from anyone. He stated, "Certain officials in the village: it came up in building meetings." He further noted that the Complainant told him she was frustrated and the Complainant sent around two memos in which she reported that she was trying to get her job done, but she was lacking in instructions, and access to computers. He said she reported that the building files were in disarray, she had problems getting access to files and receiving training. Mr. Banks stated that the Trustees like to see the office runs smoothly, and so, he tried to work

Name: Noreen Sheav Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)

with the building department to address the Complain and sconcerns. However, no follow-up discussions were held with the board to get things straightened out for the Complainant to do her job.

Mr. Banks was asked if anyone complained to him about the Complainant's performance and he said, "Don's Ulman reported once to the board that everyone was quibbling." He was asked if the Mayor complained about problems with the Complainant and her replied, "No."

Mr. Banks described the Complainant as being aggressive. He explained that the Complainant previously had some high level, stressful jobs; therefore, she likes to do thing fast and she wanted and needed to do work. He also assessed that "she is acapable person, but there were some bumps for her tomanage the system we have." He also stated that the Complainant is extremely credible. She does not play games and she does not lie.

Mr. Banks was asked if the board had confirmed the Complainant's appointment. He replied that he was not sure. Mr. Banks was asked if the board confirmed the current Deputy Clerk's appointment; he said, "No." The witness was asked whether he or the Trustees were consulted about the Complainant's termination; he said, "No." He reported that he found out about the Complainant's termination from her and he believed she called him the day of the termination. He was asked if he had done anything when he found out about the termination and he replied that he remember making some ealls to confirm it. He was asked if he spoke to the Mayor about it and he said, "No; not after the termination." He added that prior to the termination, "There were runors floating around that she would be terminated." He explained that the Complainant and residents, who had heard from other employers, rumored that her employment would be terminated. He said he was not surprised about the termination because the Complainant was not reappointed. He noted that some people may continue working for years without being reappointed, but it is not a surprise when a person who was not reappointed is terminated. He said he was surprised the Complainant was not reappointed because everyone thought she was doing a good job.

The witness was asked if he had heard any other types of runors about how the residents are treated. He responded that the Complainant said she had been criticized for providing documents to the residents too quickly and she was told that she should not be doing it. Mr. Banks further noted that the residents were happy because they were getting things that they needed, and they had heard she was criticized for doing her job. He reported that Jewish residents have problems getting their documents quickly. The Complainant treated everyone the same way,

Name: Norcen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32

namely, information went out quickly. However, the Mayor did not agree with her approach and the Complamant reported that she was criticized for doing her job.

The witness was asked if residents complained to him prior to the Complainant's arrival. He replied, "Yes," He stated that, recently, a lot of the complaints are about what is going on with the building department. He said he attended a meeting in which he heard residents talk about permits, plans approval, certificate of occupancy, and stop work orders. He was asked who complained and he replied, "Pretty much all the Jewishresidents." He stated that he also gets telephone complaints from residents about drainage issues and plans being followed. The witness indicated that the Trustees do not always get the information about plans not being followed. The witness was asked if he received complain is from non-Jewish residents. His response was, "Fewer, but a large percentage of the residents is Jewish. He said the residents have historically been Jewish, but there has been a recent change in the demographics, i.e., there are more Orthodox Jews. Mr. Banks also stated that he could not recall the non-Jewish residents' complaint, but he is sure they were some.

The witness answered, "She told me" when asked if he is aware of any information about the Mayor calling the Complainant a "Jew lover." He was asked specifically what she told him and he replied, "She said the term 'Jew lover' was used by the Mayor and the building inspector." He was asked if he had made any inquiries about the use of the term and he said, "No. I did not bring it up in a meeting. I may have mentioned it to the Jewish residents." He added that a fellow Trustee, Robert Klein, is Jewish.

Mr. Banks was asked if he knows about any reference to "those people" by anyone in the office. He said the Complainant told him it had occurred. He was asked if he had made any inquiries into the matter and he said, "Not that I can recall."

He was asked if he has any knowledge about the directive to buy pork rinds and he said, "I read about it in the complaint, but I did not witness it. I don't have any information about it."

Mr. Banks reported that, to the best of his recollection, he believes the Complainant had informed him about the "Jew lover" and "those people" comments prior to the termination of her employment.

He was asked if he had knowledge about an "Us vs. Them" operating model at village hall and he responded, "I'm a resident and Trustee. There is a division of employees, Mayor and people who don't agree politically or it could be religious beliefs. Additionally, Mr. Banks disclosed that a

LawManager

NYS DHR EVENT HISTORY WITH COMMENTS

Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)

resident does not speak at meetings because he is a fraid of intimidation from the building inspector.

Mr. Badks added that the Mayor uses intimidation and threats to get what he wants and he gets help from the building inspector, the clerk, and the village attorney, and possibly the engineer.

The witness was asked for his response to #11 of the complaint which reads as follows: "I believe Respondent terminated my employment because I provided competent, equal, and professional services to Orthodox Jewish investors, builders, and residents in contravention to Respondent's desired practice that I stop being too cooperative with certain Jewish residents of the village." He stated that the Complainant thought she was put under pressure if someone asked her something. She told him about a meeting she had with the Mayor and Deputy Mayor about how she was doing and during the meeting she was reprimanded. Mr. Banks said the board was not notified of the meeting. He stated that the Complainant may have been said to be outspoken and yelling at people; however, were one to see what the Mayor is capable of in terms of intimation, one would understand.

The witness was asked if he had any other information he wanted to share. He said that the village is very divided and criticism of the Complainant are from the Mayor and the people paid by the Mayor. He said the employees are not acting independently and they are being manipulated by the Mayor. For example, the building inspector, who should be independent, takes directives from the Mayor. He further disclosed that certain employees must do what the Mayor says or get fired like the Complainant. Mr. Banks also said, "If you don't follow what the Mayor says, you end up like me, having to go to court."

Mr. Banks stated that Trustees are now unable to get any important document from village hall. For instance, the Trustees are unable to get the report on FOIL requests that were denied, but the Trustees were previously able to get the report. He said the Trustees are also denied access to things needed to do their jobs, such as, requests to see the log of attorney fees.

LawManager

NYS DHR EVENT HISTORY WITH COMMENTS

Name: Noreen Sheav Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)

MOU Agency

Witness Interview

In Person

Wed 2/28/2018

Status Completed

5/15/2018

Gonnesias, Case Number : 10190879 HUD Number : 16GB800346

Case Name

: Noreen Shea v.

Village of Pomona

Witness Name Vanderbeek : Betty

: Pomona

Witness Address Village Hall

Witness Telephone

hone

Date of Birth (If age is alleged)

Date of Interview : 2/28/18 Method of Interview : In person

Place of Interview (If In Person): Commission on

Human Rights

Interview summary:

Witness Betty Vanderbeek was interviewed. She was accompanied by Poris F. Ulman, Attorney for the Village of Pomona.

Witness Vanderbeck stated that she was appointed as the Deputy Clerk for the Village of Pomona in June 2017. She was previously appointed as the Building Clerk for the Village of Airnont from September 2016 to May 2017.

Ms. Vanderbeek said she was initially interviewed by Mayor Yagel in mid-January 2017 for the Deputy Clerk position, but she told him she would get back to him. She interviewed again for the position in June 2017. She stated, "During the January interview, Fran came in for about 5 minutes, she seemed unprepared and it seems she did not know I was interviewing."

She was asked where the interview was conducted and she replied, "The village hall." (Footnote: During hi sinterview on 2/23/18, the Respondent said the interviews were not conducted at the village hall). She responded, "No" when asked if the Complainant was present when she interviewed for the position. She reported that she met the Complainant once at a GIS training, but she could not remember the date of the training.

She stated she left her prior position in May 2017 because Airmont needed a full-time building clerk, she was part-time and she could not get the full-time position because she was not a civil

Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32

servant. She disclosed that the Mayor reached out to her to ask her if she was interested in becoming the Deputy Clerk.

Ms. Vanderbeek was asked if, prior to the commencement of her employment, if anyone else talked to her about the position; she replied, "No." She was asked if the building inspector talked to her about the position before the Mayor contactedher; she replied, "No." She said after her position with Aismont ended, she did not think of going back in government. She was looking in corporate for positions. She relayed that she knows the building inspection prior to starting her current position because she was his clerk in Airmont.

Ms. Vanderbeek stated that she did not know that the Complainant's position was terminated and she does not know when the position was terminated, but she assumed it was sometime in June 2017 before her arrival.

She was asked what she was told about the position. She stated that she was told she would be doing code, planning, Zoning and helping the village clerk with whatever help was needed

She answered, "No. 1 came knowing the building department" when asked if she received any training.

She was asked to whom she reports and she replied, "It depends on the job; either Fran or Lou." She was asked if she reports to the Mayor and she said, "No." She also said, "No" when asked if she receives directions from the Mayor. She added that the Mayor may ask her to look into situation in the village and if she knows anything about it. Ms. Vanderbeek further responded, "No" when asked if the Mayor told her to answer the phone or place a call on hold.

She was asked if she discussed the Human Rights Commission interview with anyone and she said, "No." She amended her response to clarify that she told Fran because Fran would have been left alone in the office, but she did not provide her with any details. She also spoke with the attorney.



Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)

MOU Agency Witness Interview Sub-bype. In Person Wed 2/28/2018 Sams Completed Date Completes, 5/15/2018

Case Number : 10190879 HUD Number : 16GB800346

Case Name

: Noreen Shea v.

Village of Poinona

Witness Name

: Nicholas

Wilson

Witness Address

: Pomona

Village Hall Witness Telephone

Date of Birth (If age is alleged) :

Date of Interview : 2/28/18 Method of Interview :In person

Place of Interview (If In Person): Commission on

Human Rights

Interview summary:

Witness Trustee Nicholas Wilson was interviewed. He was accompanied by Doris F. Ulman, Attorney for the Village of Pomona.

Mr. Wilson stated that he was appointed as Trustee in October 2013 and he was elected for the position in March 2014. He held no other similar position.

Mr. Wilson said he has not read the complaint, but he is familiar with it.

Mr. Wilson was asked what he could tell the investigator about the Complainant's work. He disclosed that the Complainant had worked at the village hall for about 1 1/2 year and she seemed frustrated with her position. He added that she mentioned that she was unable to make decisions without going to supervisors and she did not have enough responsibility. Mr. Wilson was asked how he responded to the Complainant's statements. He said he told her to ask if she has questions and if she does not receive any response, she should send an email in which she includes the Mayor. He was asked why he informed the Complainant to include the Mayor in the email; he replied that the Mayor oversees personnel.

Mr. Wilson was asked if anyone consulted him about the Complainant's job. He answered that he was asked how they were getting along and he said she seemed frustrated. The witness was asked



Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)

if the Deputy Mayor was asked to investigate the Complainant and he answered, "No. I'm unaware."

Mr. Wilson was asked how he found out the Complainant's position was terminated and he responded, "Probably from the Mayor." He said he does not know when the Complainant's employment was terminated.

The witness answered, "No" when asked if he is aware of any information about the Mayor calling the Complainant a "Jew lover." He responded, "I don't know what that means" to the inquiry whether he was aware of any information regarding the Complainant or any other employee handling requests from "those people." He was asked if he is aware of Orthodox Jews being treated different, such as, delay in the issuance of peimits until the last day of the request. He answered, "No." Mr. Wilson was asked if he heard anyone complain that the Jewish residents were being treated different; he replied, "No." He also answered, "No" when asked if he has any knowledge about any directive to buy pork rinds.

Mr. Wilson was asked if he knows about an "Us vs. Them" environment at village hall and he responded, "Absolutely not." He was asked if he had heard any information that the Complainant's employment was terminated because she was too associated with the Jewish residents; he said, "No."



Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)

MOU Agency
Witness Interview
In Person
Wed 2/28/2018
Completed
5/15/2018

Sur principal Case Number : 10190879 HUD Number : 16GB800346

Case Name

: Noreen Shea v.

Village of Pomona

Witness Name

: Louis Zummo

Witness Address

: Pomona

Village Hall

. I dillolla

Witness Telephone

Date of Birth (If age is alleged) :

Date of Interview : 2/28/18 Method of Interview : In person

Place of Interview (If In Person): Commission on

Human Rights

Interview summary:

Witness Building Inspector Louis Zummo was interviewed. He was accompanied by Doris F. Ulman, Attorney for the Village of Pomona.

Mr. Zummo stated that he was appointed as Building Inspector in November 2013. He works part-time, 15 hours/week. He has also been the Building Inspector for the Village of Airmont since July 2016. He stated that he received training/orientation for building inspector, and in July 2014, he received his certification for Code Enforcement Officer by the Department of State. He said he completed 124 hours training to obtain the certification and he must complete 24 hours continuing education credits annually to maintain his certification.

Mr. Zummo said he had seen and read the complaint.

Witness was asked if he receives text from the Mayor; he replied, "Sure. On my personal, but primarily on the village phone, which I received two years ago." Investigator asked Witness for the phone number for his village phone; he said, 'I don't know it. Ask Fran; she would know."

Witness was asked if had shown Complainant a text from the Mayor in which the Mayor referred to Complainant as "Jew lover." He replied, "I doubt highly I would have done that. The Mayor does not work like that." Witness was asked if he showed Complainant an email from the Mayor with the term "Jew lover?" He responded, "Not

Events (32)

that I know of. No." Witness was asked would the Complainant be lying had she made such a statement and he said, 'If I did not show it to her, I guess she would be."

Witness was asked if there are delays in the processing of requests for permits. He responded by delineating the steps for obtaining a permit permit application, insurance from contractor, clerk review, and data entry in the system. He said that he reviews the request in the computer and alert the clerk when it's ready to go. He added that processing for some permits may take longer, i.e., ones that require drawings or plumbing.

Witness was asked if the Mayor directed him to issue stop work orders andhe replied, "No. He has asked, but unless I find it valid, I do not issue it. I'm the only person authorized to issue stop work orders." Witness was asked if a stop work order was issued for Mr. Klein and he replied, "No." However, he stated that Mr. Klein had two issues with his permits. Improper drawings, i.e., the house being built did not match the drawings for the Permit, and an extra floor was added, i.e., the drawings were for two floors, but the house being built has three floors. Witness said he became aware of the matter when he drove by the house. Witness was asked if prior to driving by, had he received any directive from the Mayor aboutany of the work. He responded, "No." added, "He asked me to investigate things that are going on in the village, but the Mayo cannot ask me to issue stop work order because the building inspector position is like that of the police; the Mayor cannot tell him what to do. He said others, such as Trustees Klein and Banks have asked him to investigate issues/concerns they had.

Witness was asked if there is a timeframe for issuing permits. He said, "As fast as we can. There is a 30-day window, but it is a village code, not a State law and there is no penalty for not issuing a permit within the 30 days. He added that sometimes the village engineer has to sign off, which may take longer for the request to be approved, but most applications take less than 30 days."

Witness was asked about the existence of a "Us vs. Them" operating model at the office and he stated, "I do not see any attitude. I am there to service the residents. There is uniformity with everybody."

Witness was asked if he had done an inspection of Mr. Banks barn. He said, "Yes." He was asked the reason and he replied, "He has three open permits for almost 12 years. I inspected the property six or seven times. The permit for electrical wiring was closed in 2014. The permit



Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)

for the barn, was opened in 2014. The drawing was for a 1.5 story horse barn, but what was being built was a 3-story structure with an office." Witness said Mr. Banks was given a year to correct the concerns, but he still has problems with star joists, insulation removal, verification of the height, hurricane-clips and tying the roof, and wall structure. Witness added that the Zoning Board of Appeal's list of deficiencies were the same as the list from Mr. Banks' architect. Witness said he could submit to the Investigator letters he has on file which lists the concerns.

Witness was asked whether he had said the Mayor would be pissed because the barn had passed inspection. Re replied, "No. He passed all the inspections, except the one he did not do." Witnesse was asked if the Mayor told him to find something wrong with the barn and he responded, "No. The deficiencies were similar to the ones from Mr. Barks' architect." He was asked when he last inspected the barn and he said it was almost a year ago. Witness was asked if anyone else inspected the barn and he said," The Village's back-up architect, Mr. Brady, who works for the Village of Haverstraw." Witness was asked the outcome of Mr. Brady's inspection and he said, "Brady and I measured the height of the barn and it was too tall; the special permit from 1998, provided a tolerance for height, but it too tall - 28 feet." Witness was asked if he had told anyone about the barn's heights and he said he had told Mr. Banks and the office staff. He was asked if he toldthe Mayor. He responded, "I don't remember telling the Mayor. No. It might have comeup in a meeting. I don't recall." Witness was asked if after the outcome of the barn inspection, did the Mayor thank him for making his year. He replied, "No. That would have been special." Witness was asked if a certificate of occupancy was issued for the barn and he said it was not. Witness disclosed that Mr. Banks has brought an Article 78 case against the Village.

Witness was asked if he had conducted inspections for Mr. Klein's property and he replied, "Yes." He was asked what transpired during his inspections. He said he had conducted multiple inspections of the property because there are multiple concerns. For example, sprinkler issues because the property being built is a 3-story structure, which is illegal in New York State without a sprinkler system. Therefore, a permit was not issued because Mr. Klein did not have a sprinkler system for the structure. Therefore, Mr. Klein wanted to bring the matter to the Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA), but it required a denial letter for him to do so, but Mr. Klein did not have one because he was not issued a permit. Witness, however, added Mr. Klein was later given permission to appear before the ZBA because in January/February 2016, Complainant issued him a permit that Witness did not sign. Witness said it was relatively easy for Complainant to have issued the pennit without his signature because

Report run on 06/14/2018 27

By: rcaquias

Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)

she was the ZBA Clerk. Witness said he would find out about penuits Complainant issued when he when was reviewing the file. He said when he spoke with Complainant about issuing permits with out his signature, she said, "They needed it right away and you weren'there." Witness said that when he found out what Complainant had issued Mr. Klein a permit, he notified the ZBA that Mr. Klein did not meet the qualifications to appear before the ZBA.

Witness was asked if he had issued a stop work order for Mr. Klein's property. He replied, "Yes. The home being built did not match the blueprints because a foundation was added and the garage was moved forward. Witness explained that the addition of the extra floor required a sprinkler system, but the home being built did not have sprinklers;" He added that Mr. Klein currently has a violation because he continues to build even though he was issued a stop work order. Witness further noted that Mr. Klein was issued four violations last year for grading his property without a permit, dumping 200 yards of dirt, dumping weeds in the middle of the road, and other stop work orders. Witness noted that he issued a stop work order to Village Hall for repairing the roof without a pennit.

Witness was asked if the Mayor ordered the inspection of Mr. Klein's home. He replied, "No. He cannot. It is not possible. Inspections are scheduled by the resident and the Clerk will schedule inspections for violation searches and tree inspections." Witness said Complainant would schedule inspected for days he was not in the office and the appointments were all over the place.

Witness was asked if the Mayor asked him to look into building is sues for non-Jewish residents. He replied, "Sure. All the time for issues, such as garbage cans in the streets, and down trees. Also, anyone, such as Board of Trustees who sees it."

Witness was asked if he knew the reason Complainant's employment was terminated and he said he was not given an official reason. He was asked what reason he was given and he replied, "Honestly, I've complained to the Mayor to do something about her."

Witness answered, "No. He usually puts candy out. Why would he buy pork rinds" to the question whether there was a directive from the Mayor to put pork rinds on the counter.

Witness was asked to describe his relationship with Complainant. He said she was his in-house support staff, his representative when he was not there, she answered the phones, took in permits and putaway files. He also indicated that she did not take instructions well, she had a hard time learning procedures and when he tried to

Name: Norcen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)

show her how it's done, she would call him Mr. Perfect. Additionally, he stated that when someone called, Complainant would leave a message without finding out the reason for the call, she could not type, and she was not computer savy. Witness said he stopped giving Complainant work to type because her work had misspellings and grammatical errors.

Witness said the building system and tax system database must be regularly updated; the Complainant asked to do it, but what she did was all wrong. Consequently, he had to block her authorization in the computer because errors can lead to information not going to the right people. Witnesssaid, 'The best description for Complainant is a speedometer that goes from zero to 60; one moment she's very sweet and another, she's angry; when she didnot get it, she would go on a rampage." He stated that Complainant had anger issues and fits of rage. Witness was asked if he had talked to Complainant about his concerns. He replied, "Yes. I would stay after work and talk to her in the parking lot for hours and we have gone to the Copper Kettle Bar/ Restaurant [Copper Still in Pomona] after work. I tried to help her because I needed a clerk."

Witness was asked if he got along with Complainant. He replied, "Yes. We joked all the time." Witness was asked if he told Complainant the Mayor wanted to get rid of her and he answered, "No. I told her I wanted her to leave. I told her it was not working out." Witness said the Mayor told him to relax and he would talk to her. He said he was not privy to the information from their discussion.

Witness was asked about interviews that were conducted and he stated that multiple interviews were conducted for code enforcement to replace Melanie Gross, but he does not know what became of it. He said that Betty Vanderbeek is the current Deputy Village Clerk. He was asked if he knew when she interviewed and he answered, "No. I recommended her, but I did not hear anything about it. She used to be the Clerk for Airmont. She lost her job in December 2016. He was asked when she started as the Deputy Village Clerk and she said she started in June 2017.

Witness was asked if he had done impressions/ impersonations in the office and he replied, "Sure." He was asked if he had done impersonation of Jewish people. He responded, "Yes. Sometimes. I joked about how people were carrying on."

The Investigator asked the Witness if the Mayor ever directed him to work. He replied, "Only once. He said to come in on the weekend to do garbage.

The Investigator asked to view Witness' village telephone and Witness consented to the request.

LawManager

NYS DHR EVENT HISTORY WITH COMMENTS

Name: Noreen Shea y Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)

The review showed only two text messages between the Witness and the Mayor; however, there were numerous email communication between the Mayor and the witness. The Investigator requested a copy of email communication with the Mayor from 2/1/16 to present to be submitted to the Investigator by 3/7/18.

Name: Noreen Sheav Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)

Subtaine In Person

MOU Agency Witness Interview Thu 3/15/2018 Completed 5/15/2018

> Case Number : 10190879 HUD Number : 16GB800346

Case Name

: Noreen Shea v.

Village of Pomona

Witness Name Witness Address

: Robert Klein : Pomona

Vill age Hall

Witness Telephone

Date of Birth (If age is alleged)

Date of Interview : 3/15/18 Method of Interview : In person

Place of Interview (If In Person): Commission on

Human Rights

Interview summary: Witness Trustee Robert Klein was interviewed. He was accompanied by Doris F. Ulman. Attorney for the Village of Pomona.

Mr. Klein stated that he was voted Trustee in the March 2017 elections and his tenn started in April 2017. Mr. Klein saidhe had seen and read the complaint and the Respondent's response to the complaint. His property is located at 63 Halley Drive, Pomona.

Witness was asked his assessment of the Complainant's employment. He responded that his only interaction with her was in his personal life, namely, his submission of building department applications and plans and she was blamed for lost plans. He described her as a "Happy go lucky person." He was asked if he had heard anything about Complainant's performance and he replied, "I believe the Mayor said she was not appointed; she was not doing a good job." He was asked if in his capacity as a Trustee, he had heard anything about Complainant's performance; he replied, "No. During her employment, I was a Trustee for a short time, approximately April to June 2017, and one day she was gone."

Witness stated, "The village's main control is the village building department where if things are not done right, people can get hurt." He added, "I have gone through personal hell with the building department. Things don't add up; I don't believe that there is not foulplay; and I have voiced my opinion publicly." Witness said Complainant was there during the initial part of his 2 1/2 year home remodelingproject, which has been spiraling downward. He described the mold, burst pipes

Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Even* (32)

and sheetrock damage to his home which resulted from his problems in working with the building department. He said, "I'm a monkey in the middle." He also said he during his struggle with the building department, he suffered \$150,000 in damages to his home and he had to move out of his home because of the mold. Specifically, he said, he does not know about other Jews, but he has his requests for permits have been held up for 5-6 months, and he was told his building request would be reviewed the next day, but it took a month, which resulted in thousands of dollars in damages. He also reported that it took 5 months for him to get a fence pennit and when the permits were ready, no one notified him; he found out during a discussion with the village attorney. Witness said the building inspector said he does not have hours to do pennits, but a review of his timesheets showed that he works 40 hours weeks, twice the number of hours for which he is employed.

Witness disclosed that three people in the village are responsible for the problems with the building department and there is no one with whom to talk about the problem. He said it's a dead end and the only avenues seem to be legal, the route he has taken. Although asked, Witness would not name the three individuals to whom he had referred.

Witness was asked about the existence of a "Us vs. Them" operating model at the village hall and he stated, "It could be politically charged." He also stated that he has received a citation for garbage at 4 am on a Saturday. Witness added that he did not have any leaves on his property, he was given two tickets. The owner at 26 Halley Drive in the village of Pomona had a huge pile for 3 weeks, but was not given a ticket. Witness stated he took pictures and brought them to village hall and the building inspector had been on the block 20 times, he was given two tickets, but none was issued for 26 Halley Drive. Witness said he does not know the owner of 26 Halley Drive. Witness said the village attorney also has a lot of garbage on her property, but she was also not ticketed for it.

Also, Witness discussed what occurred during his recent visit to Village Hall. He said he brought in a ZBA application, but the new Deputy Clerk refused to accept his application. She told him she was rejecting it and when he asked the reason, she responded, "I don't care. I don't have time for your shenanigans. You don't have 12 original copies." He said he informed her that the application indicated that 12 copies are required, not

12 original copies. He said he asked her to stamp his application and reject him because he needed a rejection letter, but she refused to do it and she screamed that he was harassing her.

Consequently, he asked the Village Clerk to stamp his application, which she did. He later received a rejection letter from the Village

Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Fvents (32

Attorney. He said he understood the reasons the attorney delineated in the letter, but his experience with the Deputy Clerk when he attempted to submit his plan should not have occurred. The Village Attorney explained that the Deputy Clerk is new, she is in training, and she is from a town where things are done differently; however, in response to the witness's complaint, the Deputy Clerk was reprimanded because she does not have authority to reject plans.

Witness also reported that the new Deputy Clerk refuses to give him receipts for plans he submitted whenever he requests them from her. He said if she refuses to give him a receipt, he would sit for a while, and she would type a Microsoft form and give it to him because she realized he would not leave.

Furthermore, Witness said 5 plans he submitted to the village have disappeared since the arrival of the new Deputy Clerk. He said he cannot understand how the Village does not have a record of his plans because inspections were done, which could not have occurred without the plans being on record with the village. He said Complainant was blamed for the missing plans, but they became missing after the termination of Complainant's employment.

Additionally, Witness said he was issued 4 tickets in 4 consecutive days from a Code Inspector who only works two days a week. He said he took a video of her taking pictures of his home, and on February 14, 2018, she wrote him a ticket which was back dated for January 10, 2018. Witness said for the current month (March 2018) 94 tickets were issued, and it seems that certain people were being targeted. The Investigator asked the Village Attorney for a copy of the tickets, which should include the names and addresses for which they were issued.

Witness further noted that at a ZBA meeting in 2016, the building inspector told the Board not to give him a permit to build a basement because "I was just going to build an illegal apartment just like them." Witness said there is tape of the meeting because all the meetings are tape recorded. The Investigator requested a copy of the tape.

Witness was asked if he had heard Complainant being called a "Jew lover." He replied, "No. Not in the office. I was only there to drop off plans."

Witness was asked if he had heard complaints from non-Jewish residents about problems with Village Hall and he replied, "People came to me to complain about Schultz leaving garbage on the property and Jewish people said they feel they are being targeted / harassed." Witness was asked if he had received similar complaints from non-Jewish residents; he replied, "No, but I'm involved with more Jewish people and I talk with

Name: Noreen Sheav Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)

more Jewish people."

Witness was asked if there is anything else he would like to add and he said, "Personally, no matter what I do, it's like constantly throwing darts at me." Witness said he has had multiple stop work orders and his plans have been missing for 7-8 months. (Note: During his interview on 2/28/18, the Building Inspection responded, "No" when asked if a stop work order was issued for Mr. Klein]. Witness detailed that one stop work order was issued by P.J. Corless, the village engineer involving a "disconnect / reconnect" for some electrical work. Witness said the stop work order was during Sukkot, a major Jewish holiday which commemorates the sheltering of the Israelites in the wilderness. The holiday lasts 7 days, which means a delay in the Witness's availability to respond to the matter. Witness said the request for the 'disconnect / reconnect" was confusing because his electrician informed him it was not required for the type of working being done. Witness's aid his second stop work order was for a fire sprinkler. When asked asked how the matter was resolved and he replied, "I was told I need to show it on the plan; when I did that, I was told I need a Topo; and it took a month to notify the a stamp was missing, something that could have been done in a day." Witness said he did not further pursue the matter with village hall and opted for litigation after he saw a memo in which Corless noted, "While you can approve it, here are 8 reasons you can deny it."

Witness said on 6/30/17, 5 minutes before the village closed for the Independence Day holiday weekend, he was issued a stop work order. He stated that the stop work order was issued even though he had done any modification to the home; the only thing that was done was that he had a company raise the foundation of the home 4 1/2 feet and the company was scheduled to return on 7/5/17 to lower the house. Witness was asked the reason that was indicated on the stop work order and he said, "The plans don't match the house." Witness said he met with the building inspector to express his confusion and to ask for an explanation for the stop work order because he had not started any work on the home and the home was in the same condition it had been since the 1980s. Witness said the building inspector pointed to the walls and said it's the wall. He said he asked the building inspector what were his concerns about the walls because he had not done anything to them. Witness said he informed the representative from the company that had raised the house about the stop work order and he was told that the end of the summer would be earliest date to reschedule the appointment and he would be charged for each day the company's equipment was in use to raise the house.

Witness said on 7/5/17, he observed two village police cars parked in front of his house for 1.5 hours and after the police cars left, Zummo, the

Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)

Building Inspector, appeared and issued him a violation, with court date for 7/28/18, for continuing to work during a stop work order. Witness said he was surprised by Zummo's issuance of the violation because Zummo, who was there a week earlier, fold him everything was fine. He said he asked Zummo what was going on and Zummo respunded, "I feel like a fool. It's Noreen's fault. She told me the house has many violations." Witness said Zummo told him to resubmit the plans. Witness said it was 7/5/17, the court date was 7/28/17, and the stop work order noted that work could continue until the court date; therefore, he had the company lower the house as scheduled.

Witness further reported that in August 2017, two inspections were done on his property, the first on August 4th and the second on August 5th and in October 2017, he received a stop work order. He said he was told that the order was issued because the work he was doing did not match the plan. However, he did not understand the reason for the stop work order he had not done any work on the house. Witness also stated that he was issued a citation because he authorized someone who was digging a pool to dump 6 loads of dirt on his property. Witness said Zummo told him he could not grade without a permit and a month later he received a backdated ficket in which he was cited for grading without a permit. He said that when told Zummo he was incorrectly ticketed because he did not grade his property. Zummo responded by showing him one of the 6 loads over which the equipment had run over during the renovations. Witness said he was perplexed because it was obvious the equipment had run over the pile and the other 5 piles remained untouched; therefore, it was clear that he was not grading his property.

Witness was asked whether the Mayor was involved and hereplied, "I don't know. I don't know what is at the core, but I don't think Zummo is doing it by himself. He is not the type to scheme."

Witness said he wants to see the village residents united. He said, "Things could be nice; it doesn't have to be this way." He said, for example, during the planning for the village's 50th anniversary, he observed that the everything to eat was non-kosher. Therefore, he donated 400-500 kosher hot dogs for the event.

Witness was asked if the issues were related to his being Orthodox and he replied, "It may be political because I ran with Ian Banks, who the Mayor hates or it could be Orthodox. I'm into being fair to everyone." When asked if he thought the process was fair to everyone, he responded, "I've heard complaints." He added that the newly hired Code Enforcement Officer issued 94 tackets in the past month, (January 2018). He said 4 of the 94 tickets were issued to him for working through a stop work order. The

Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)

village attorney explained that the code enforcement officer issued so many tickets because the village had not had a code enforcement officer for about 2 years and "The Mayor had directed the Building Inspector to go out, but he did not do it; he said he did not have the time"

	out, but he did not do it; he said he did not have the time."
	All
	Determination Due Date
	Wed 5/2/2018
	Completed
s siDate/Compile	
	MOU Agency
	FIRABOD Submitted by Rockland Co HRC
	Mon 6/4/2018
	ADS. Completed
<u>PEDDIEGORI</u> S	
(Cojstie)	NEW CONTROL OF THE CO
	MOU Agency
	Transfer to Regional Office White Plains
	White Plains Mon 6/11/2018
	Completed
	6/f2/2018
	Regional
	Receive file in Region
e de la suita	
	Tue 6/12/2018
	Completed
	6/12/2018
Gorani	
	Regional Regional
	Probable Cause Determination Issued
основа става надростивности до возда се надрога на предостивности на предостивности на предостивности на предости	Tue 6/12/2018
a date Compl	Andrew Mer.
i Cond	
	Serve Probable Cause Determination
	Tue 6/12/2018
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
-	



Name: Noreen Shea v Village of Pomona (1)

Events (32)		
A Comment of the Comm	EEOC Contracts	
er en	Determination/Closing Notification (ECU)	
A DESCRIPTION		
	Tue 6/12/2018	
	Pending	
and the same of th		
SCOOT		
	Regional	
	Transfer - Probable Cause	
	Thu 6/14/2018	
e de la companya de		
i e sa sabarologia prote		
Contraction of the Contraction		
	[All	
	Commissioner's Order Due Date	
24 St. 10 St. 15 St. 15 St.		
	Fri 1/18/2019	
	Cancelled	
A SADAR GOTTLER		
* Sign marient		

Report complete. Number of main records listed: 1